

REMARKS

By the present amendment, claims 13-17 have been amended to depend on claim 1. Also, claims 1 and 16 have been amended to delete a reference numeral and claim 3 has been amended to correct a typographical error.

Objection to claims 13-17

In the Office Action, claims 13-17 are objected to. It is alleged that the expression “application of a printer according to claim 1” in claims 13-17 contradicts claim 1.

Claims 13-17 have been rewritten as dependent claims referring to claim 1 and reciting a type of printer and features as in previous claims 13-17. Accordingly, it is submitted that the objection should be withdrawn.

Restriction requirement

A response to the restriction requirement is set forth on pages 1-2 of this paper.

Application No.: 10/531,878
Art Unit: 2854

Response to Restriction Requirement
Attorney Docket No.: 052473

Conclusion

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicant(s) respectfully petition(s) for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

/nicolas seckel/

Nicolas E. Seckel
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 44,373
Telephone: (202) 822-1100
Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

NES/rep